PHYSICS OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES AND ATOMIC NUCLEI. THEORY

Nested Bethe Ansatz for RTT-Algebra of $U_a(sp(4))$ Type

Č. Burdík^{*a*,*} and O. Navrátil^{*b*,**}

^a Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, CTU, Prague, Czech Republic
 ^b Faculty of Transportation Sciences, CTU, Prague, Czech Republic
 *e-mail: burdices@kmlinux.fjfi.cvut.cz
 **e-mail: navraond@fd.cvut.cz
 Received November 15, 2019; revised January 15, 2020; accepted February 28, 2020

Abstract—We study the highest weight representations of the RTT-algebras for the R-matrix of $sp_q(4)$ type by the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz. It is a generalization of our study for R-matrix of sp(4).

DOI: 10.1134/S1547477120050076

1. INTRODUCTION

The formulation of the quantum inverse scattering method, or algebraic Bethe ansatz, by the Leningrad school [1] provides eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. The latter is the generating function of the conserved quantities of a large family of quantum integrable models. The transfer matrix eigenvectors are constructed from the representation theory of the RTT-algebras. In order to construct these eigenvectors, one should first prepare Bethe vectors depending on a set of complex variables. The first formulation of the Bethe vectors for the gl(n)-invariant models was given by P.P. Kulish and N.Yu. Reshetikhin in [2] where the nested algebraic Bethe ansatz was introduced. These vectors are given by recursion on the rank of the algebra. Our calculation is some q-generalization of the construction which we published in recent a work [6] for the non-deformed case of sp(4). Our construction of Bethe vectors used the new RTTalgebra \mathcal{A}_2 which is defined in Section 2 and is not the RTT-subalgebra of $sp_a(4)$. This algebra has two RTTsubalgebras of $gl_a(2)$ type and we study its eigenvectors in Section 3.

Our construction of Bethe vectors is in any sense a generalization of Reshetikhin's results [7]. Another approach to the nested Bethe ansatz for very special representations of RTT-algebras of sp(2n) type was given by Martin and Ramas [8].

In this note, due to of lack of space, we omit the proofs of the claims. These proofs can be found in our preprint [9].

2. SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS OF THE PAPER [9] FOR THE RTT-ALGEBRA OF $U_q(sp(4))$ TYPE

Recently, we dealt with the Nested-Bethe ansatz for the RTT-algebra of $U_q(sp(2n))$ type [9]. In this part, we briefly summarize the results of this work in the case of the RTT-algebra of $U_q(sp(4))$ type.

The R-matrix of $U_q(sp(4))$ type has the form

$$\mathbf{R}(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha(x)} \left(\sum_{i,k;i\neq\pm k} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{k}^{k} + f(x) \sum_{i} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} + f(x^{-1}q^{-3}) \sum_{i} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + g(x) \sum_{k< i} \mathbf{E}_{k}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{i}^{k} - g(x^{-1}) \sum_{i

$$(1)$$$$

where the census indices take place $i, k = \pm 1, \pm 2$, $\epsilon_i = \operatorname{sgn}(i)$ and

$$f(x) = \frac{xq - x^{-1}q^{-1}}{x - x^{-1}}, \quad g(x) = \frac{x(q - q^{-1})}{x - x^{-1}},$$
$$\alpha(x) = 1 + \frac{q - q^{-1}}{x - x^{-1}}.$$

This R-matrix satisfies the Yang-Baxter equation

 $\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,3}(xy)\mathbf{R}_{2,3}(y) = \mathbf{R}_{2,3}(y)\mathbf{R}_{1,3}(xy)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(x)$

and is invertible. Therefore, by using the RTT-equation

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_{1}(x)\mathbf{T}_{2}(y) = \mathbf{T}_{2}(y)\mathbf{T}_{1}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}),$$

where
$$\mathbf{T}(x) = \sum_{i,k=-n}^{n} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{k} \otimes T_{k}^{i}(x)$$

we define the RTT-algebra of $U_q(sp(4))$ type. From the invertibility of the R-matrix we have that the operator

$$H(x) = \text{Tr}(\mathbf{T}(x)) = \sum_{i=-2}^{2} T_{i}^{i}(x)$$

fulfills the equations H(x)H(y) = H(y)H(x) for any x and y.

We suppose that in the representation space \mathcal{W} of the RTT-algebra \mathcal{A} there exists a vacuum vector $\omega \in \mathcal{W}$, for which $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}\omega$ and

$$T_k^i(x)\omega = 0$$
 for $i < k$,
 $(x)\omega = \lambda_i(x)\omega$ for $i = \pm 1, \pm 2$.

In the vector space $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}\omega$, we will look for eigenvectors of H(x).

In [9] we showed that if we restrict our considerations to the space $\mathcal{W}_0 = \mathcal{A}^{(+)}\mathbf{A}^{(-)}\omega \subset \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{A}\omega$, where RTT-subalgebras $\mathcal{A}^{(+)}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{(-)}$ are generated by $T_k^i(x)$ and $T_{-k}^{-i}(x)$, where i, k = 1, 2, it is possible to write commutation relations between

$$\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{k} \otimes T_{k}^{i}$$

and
$$\mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \otimes T_{-k}^{-i}(x)$$

in the form of RTT-equations

$$\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{2})}(xy^{-1})\mathbf{T}_{1}^{(\epsilon_{1})}(x)\mathbf{T}_{2}^{(\epsilon_{2})}(y)
= \mathbf{T}_{2}^{(\epsilon_{2})}(y)\mathbf{T}_{1}^{(\epsilon_{1})}(x)\mathbf{R}_{1,2}^{(\epsilon_{1},\epsilon_{2})}(xy^{-1}),$$
(2)

where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = \pm$ and

 T_i^i

$$\mathbf{R}^{(+,+)}(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} \Biggl(\sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{k}^{k} + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} + g(x) \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{2}^{1} - g(x^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \Biggr),$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{(-,-)}(x) = \frac{1}{f(x)} \Biggl(\sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + g(x) \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} \Biggr),$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{(+,-)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x^{-1}q) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + qg(x^{-1}q) \mathbf{E}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-2} - q^{-1}g(xq^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2},$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{(-,+)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{k}^{k} + f(x^{-1}q^{-3}) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} - q^{-1}g(xq^{3}) \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{2}^{1} + qg(x^{-1}q^{-3}) \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-2} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2}.$$

The RTT-equation (2) can be written in the form of a single RTT-equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(xy^{-1})\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{2}(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{2}(y)\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_{1}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(xy^{-1}),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x) = \mathbf{R}^{(+,+)}(x) + \mathbf{R}^{(+,-)}(x) + \mathbf{R}^{(-,+)}(x) + \mathbf{R}^{(-,-)}(x),$$
$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}(x) = \mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x) + \mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x).$$

Since the R-matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(x)$ satisfies the Yang–Baxter equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x)\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy)\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{2,3}(y)\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,3}(xy)\tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{1,2}(x)$$

and is invertible, we can define the RTT-algebra denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$. If we want to point out that $\mathbf{T}^{(\pm)}(x)$ is an element of the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$, we will write

$$\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{k} \otimes \tilde{T}_{k}^{i}(x), \ \mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \otimes \tilde{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x).$$

In the standard way by using (2) we obtain that in the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ the operators $\tilde{H}^{(\pm)}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}^{(\pm)}(y)$,

$$\tilde{H}^{(+)}(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_{+}(\mathbf{T}^{(+)}(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{T}_{i}^{i}(x),$$
$$\tilde{H}^{(-)}(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_{-}(\mathbf{T}^{(-)}(x)) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \tilde{T}_{-i}^{-i}(x)$$

commute with each other.

We look for Bethe vectors in the form

$$\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{u}) = \langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\mathbf{u}), \Phi \rangle,$$

where $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_M)$ are different complex numbers,

$$\mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\mathbf{u})\mathbf{B}_{1}(u_{1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{2}(u_{2}) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{B}_{M}(u_{M})$$

$$= \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{M},k_{1},\dots,k_{M}}^{2} \mathbf{e}_{i_{1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{i_{M}} \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-k_{1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{-k_{M}}$$

$$\otimes T^{i_{1}}_{-k_{1}}(u_{1}) \dots T^{i_{M}}_{-k_{M}}(u_{M}),$$

$$\Phi = \sum_{i_{1},\dots,i_{M},s_{1},\dots,s_{M}}^{2} \mathbf{f}^{i_{1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^{i_{M}} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s_{1}}$$

$$\otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-s_{M}} \otimes \Phi^{s_{1},\dots,s_{M}}_{i_{1},\dots,i_{M}},$$

where $\Phi_{i_1,i_2,...,i_M}^{k_1,k_2,...,k_M} \in \mathcal{W}_0$ and \mathbf{e}_i is the basis of space \mathcal{V}_+ , \mathbf{e}_{-s} is the basis \mathcal{V}_- , and \mathbf{f}^r and \mathbf{f}^{-k} are dual bases in spaces \mathcal{V}_+^* and \mathcal{V}_-^* , respectively.

PHYSICS OF PARTICLES AND NUCLEI LETTERS Vol. 17 No. 5 2020

In [9] we introduced for any **u** the operators

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,...,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,+)}(xu_1^{-1})\dots\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M^*}^{(+,+)}(xu_M^{-1}) \times \mathbf{T}_0^{(+)}(x)\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M}^{(+,-)}(xu_1^{-1})\dots\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(+,-)}(xu_1^{-1}), \hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,...,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(-,+)}(xu_1^{-1})\dots\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M^*}^{(-,+)}(xu_M^{-1})\mathbf{T}_0^{(-)}(x) \times \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,M}^{(-,-)}(xu_M^{-1})\dots\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,1^*}^{(-,-)}(xu_1^{-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,l^{*}}^{(+,+)}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x^{-1})} \Biggl(\sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{k}^{k} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} \\ &+ f(x^{-1}) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} + g(x^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} \\ &- g(x) \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} \Biggr), \\ \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,l^{*}}^{(-,+)}(x) &= \sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{k}^{k} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} \\ &+ f(xq) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} + qg(xq) \\ &\times \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{2}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-} - q^{-1}g(x^{-1}q^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} \otimes \mathbf{F}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{-}, \\ \hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,l^{-}}^{(+,-)}(x) &= \sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} + f(x^{-1}q) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \\ &+ qg(x^{-1}q) \mathbf{E}_{1}^{1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} - q^{-1}g(xq^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{1}^{2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-2}, \\ &\hat{\mathbf{R}}_{0,l}^{(-,-)}(x) &= \frac{1}{f(x)} \Biggl(\sum_{i,k=1;i\neq k}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-k}^{-k} \\ &+ f(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-i} + g(x) \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \\ &\otimes \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} - g(x^{-1}) \mathbf{E}_{-1}^{-2} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{+}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{E}_{-2}^{-1} \Biggr) \Biggr|, \end{split}$$

and define the operators $\hat{T}_k^i(x;\mathbf{u})$ and $\hat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x;\mathbf{u})$ by the relationships

$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,...,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{i}^{k} \otimes \hat{T}_{k}^{i}(x;\mathbf{u}),$$
$$\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,...,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i,k=1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{-i}^{-k} \otimes \hat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x;\mathbf{u}).$$

For organized *M*-tuples $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_M)$ denote by \overline{u} the set $\overline{u} = \{u_1, \dots, u_M\}$, define

$$\mathbf{u}_{k} = (u_{1}, \dots, u_{k-1}, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{M}),$$

$$\overline{u}_{k} = \overline{u} \setminus \{u_{k}\} = \{u_{1}, \dots, u_{k-1}; u_{k+1}, \dots, u_{M}\},$$

$$F(x; \overline{u}^{-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{M} f(xu_{k}^{-1}),$$

$$F(x^{-1}, \overline{u}) = \prod_{k=1}^{M} f(x^{-1}u_{k}).$$

PHYSICS OF PARTICLES AND NUCLEI LETTERS Vol. 17 No. 5

One of the main results of [9] is

Proposition 1. Let Φ be a common eigenvector of the operators

791

$$\hat{H}_{l,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{0}(\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u})) = \hat{T}_{1}^{1}(x;\mathbf{u}) + \hat{T}_{2}^{2}(x;\mathbf{u}), \hat{H}_{l,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{Tr}_{0}(\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u})) = \hat{T}_{-1}^{-1}(x;\mathbf{u}) + \hat{T}_{-2}^{-2}(x;\mathbf{u}) \text{ with eigenvalues } \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u}) \text{ and } \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u}).$$
 If the relations

$$F(u_k^{-1}; \overline{u}_k) \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \mathbf{u}) = F(u_k; \overline{u}_k^{-1}) \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \mathbf{u})$$
(3)

are true for each $u_k \in \overline{u}$, then $\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M}(\mathbf{u}), \Phi \rangle$ is the eigenvector of $H(x) = H^{(+)}(x) + H^{(-)}(x)$ with eigenvalue

$$E_{1,\dots,M}(x;\overline{u}) = F(x^{-1};\overline{u})\hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u})$$

+ $F(x;\hat{u}^{-1})\hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u}).$

So to find eigenvectors of the operator H(x), it is enough to find common eigenvectors of the operators $\hat{H}_{lowM}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u})$ and $H_{lowM}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u})$.

Other important results of [9] are the RTT-equations

$$\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(xy^{-1})\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0';1,\dots,M}^{(\epsilon')}(y;\mathbf{u})$$

= $\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0';\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(y;\mathbf{u})\hat{\mathbf{T}}_{0;\dots,M}^{(\epsilon)}(x;\mathbf{u})\mathbf{R}_{0,0'}^{(\epsilon,\epsilon')}(xy^{-1})$

which hold for any $\epsilon, \epsilon' = \pm$ and for any **u**. It means that the operators $\hat{T}_k^i(x; \mathbf{u})$ and $\hat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \mathbf{u})$ generate the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ for any **u**.

Finally, it is shown in [9] that for the vector

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \underbrace{\mathbf{f}^1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{f}^1}_{M \times} \otimes \underbrace{\mathbf{e}_{-1} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{-1}}_{M \times} \otimes \boldsymbol{\omega}$$

we have

$$\hat{T}_{2}^{1}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} = 0, \quad \hat{T}_{k}^{k}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} = \mu_{k}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} \quad \text{for } k = 1,2$$
$$\hat{T}_{-1}^{2}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} = 0, \quad \hat{T}_{-k}^{-k}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} = \mu_{-k}(x;\mathbf{u})\hat{\Omega} \quad \text{for } k = 1,2,$$
where

$$\mu_{1}(x;\overline{u}) = \lambda_{1}(x)F(x^{-1}q;\overline{u})$$
$$\mu_{2}(x;\overline{u}) = \lambda_{2}(x)F(xq^{-1};\overline{u})$$
$$\mu_{-1}(x;\overline{u}) = \lambda_{-1}(x)F(xq;\overline{u})$$

$$\mu_{-2}(x;\overline{u}) = \lambda_{-2}(x)F(x^{-1}q^{-1};\overline{u}),$$

i.e. $\widehat{\Omega}$ is a vacuum vector for the representation of the RTT-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$.

So to find our own vectors of the operator H(x) for the RTT-algebra of $U_q(sp(4))$ type, just formulate the Bethe ansatz for the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$

2020

3. COMMON EIGENVECTORS OF THE OPERATORS $\tilde{H}^{(+)}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}^{(-)}(x)$ IN THE RTT-ALGEBRA $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$

It is possible from the commutation relations in the RTT-algebra \tilde{A}_2 to prove that for each x and y

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(x)\tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(y) &= \tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(y)\tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(x),\\ \tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(x)\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(y) &= \tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(y)\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(x),\\ \tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(x)\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(y) &= \tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(y)\tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(x) \end{split}$$

hold.

Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be a vacuum vector for the representation of the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$, i.e. we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{T}_{2}^{1}(x)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} &= \widetilde{T}_{-1}^{-2}(x)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varpi}} = \boldsymbol{0},\\ \widetilde{T}_{\pm i}^{(\pm i)}(x)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}} &= \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\pm i}(x)\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}, \quad i = 1,2. \end{split}$$

Common eigenvectors of the operators $\tilde{H}^{(+)}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}^{(-)}(x)$ will be searched for in the form

$$|\overline{v}; \overline{w}\rangle = \tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(v_{1})\tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(v_{2})...\tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(v_{P})\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(w_{1}) \times \tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(w_{2})...\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(w_{Q})\tilde{\omega} = \tilde{T}_{1}^{2}(\overline{v})\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(\overline{w})\tilde{\omega},$$

where \overline{v} and \overline{w} are the sets $\overline{v} = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_P\}$ and $\overline{w} = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_Q\}$.

Proposition 2. For any x, \overline{v} and \overline{w} we have

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}_{1}^{1}(x) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle &= \mu_{1}(x) F(x; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(xq^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} \mu_{1}(v_{r}) g(xv_{r}^{-1}) F(v_{r}; \overline{v}_{r}^{-1}) F(v_{r}q^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) | x, \overline{v}_{r}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-2}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}) F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1}) \\ &\times | x, \overline{v}; \overline{w}_{s} \rangle, \\ \tilde{T}_{2}^{2}(x) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle &= \mu_{2}(x) F(x^{-1}; \overline{v}) F(x^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w}) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} \mu_{2}(v_{r}) g(xv_{r}^{-1}) F(v_{r}^{-1}; \overline{v}_{r}) F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w}) | x, \overline{v}_{r}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} \mu_{2}(v_{r}) g(xv_{r}^{-1}) F(v_{r}^{-1}; \overline{v}_{r}) F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w}) | x, \overline{v}_{r}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}) F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(x^{-1}; \overline{w}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} \mu_{2}(v_{r}) g(xv_{r}^{-1}q^{2}) F(v_{r}^{-1}; \overline{v}_{r}) F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}_{s}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}_{s}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}_{s}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-1}(w_{s}) g(xv_{r}^{-1}q^{2}) F(v_{r}; \overline{v}_{r}^{-1}) F(v_{r}q^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-2}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} \mu_{-2}(w_{s}) g(xw_{s}^{-1}) F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1}) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w}_{s} \rangle . \end{split}$$

From this statement we obtain for the action of the operators $\tilde{H}^{(\pm)}(x)$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{H}^{(+)}(x) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle &= \tilde{T}_{1}^{1}(x)) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle + \tilde{T}_{2}^{2}(x) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &= (\mu_{1}(x)F(x; \overline{v}^{-1})F(xq^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &+ \mu_{2}(x)F(x^{-1}; \overline{v})F(x^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w})) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} g(xv_{r}^{-1})(\mu_{1}(v_{r})F(v_{r}; \overline{v}_{r}^{-1})F(v_{r}q^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &- \mu_{2}(v_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}; \overline{v}_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w})) | x, \overline{v}_{r}; \overline{w} \rangle \\ &- \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} g(xw_{s}^{-1}q^{-2})(\mu_{-1}(w_{s})F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; v)F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}_{s}) \\ &- \mu_{-2}(w_{s})F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1})F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1})) | x, \overline{v}; \overline{w}_{s} \rangle \\ &- \mu_{-2}(w_{s})F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1})F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1})) | x, \overline{v}; \overline{w}_{s} \rangle \\ &= (\mu_{-1}(x)F(x^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v})F(x^{-1}; \overline{w}) + \mu_{-2}(x)F(xq^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1}) \\ &\times F(x; \overline{w}^{-1})) | \overline{v}; \overline{w} \rangle + \sum_{v_{r} \in \overline{v}} g(xv_{r}^{-1}q^{2})(\mu_{1}(v_{r}) \\ &\times F(v_{r}; \overline{v}_{r}^{-1})F(v_{r}q^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &- \mu_{2}(v_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}; \overline{v}_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2}; \overline{w})) | \overline{v}_{r}; x, \overline{w} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{w_{s} \in \overline{w}} g(xw_{s}^{-1})(\mu_{-1}(w_{s})F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v})F(w_{s}^{-1}; \overline{w}_{s}) \\ &- \mu_{-2}(w_{s})F(w_{s}q^{2}; \overline{v}^{-1})F(w_{s}; \overline{w}_{s}^{-1})) | \overline{v}; x, \overline{w}_{s} \rangle \end{split}$$

and the following statement:

Proposition 3. If for each $v_r \in \overline{v}$ and $w_s \in \overline{w}$ the Bethe conditions are fulfilled

$$\mu_{1}(v_{r})F(v_{r};\overline{v}_{r}^{-1})F(v_{r}q^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1}) = \mu_{2}(v_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1};\overline{v}_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2};\overline{w}), \mu_{-1}(w_{s})F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})F(w_{s}^{-1};\overline{w}_{s}) = \mu_{-2}(w_{s})F(w_{s}q^{2};\overline{v}^{-1})F(w_{s};\overline{w}_{s}^{-1}),$$
(4)

the vectors $|\bar{v}, \bar{w}\rangle = \tilde{T}_1^2(\bar{u})\tilde{T}_{-2}^{-1}(\bar{w})\tilde{\omega}$ are common eigenvectors of the operators $\tilde{H}^{(+)}(x)$ and $\tilde{H}^{(-)}(x)$ with eigenvalues

$$\begin{split} \tilde{E}^{(+)}(x; \overline{v}; \overline{w}) &= \mu_1(x) F(x; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(xq^{-2}; \overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &+ \mu_2(x) F(x^{-1}; \overline{v}) F(x^{-1}q^2; \overline{w}), \\ \tilde{E}^{(-)}(x; \overline{v}; \overline{w}) &= \mu_{-1}(x) F(x^{-1}q^{-2}; \overline{v}) F(x^{-1}; \overline{w}) \\ &+ \mu_{-2}(x) F(xq^2; \overline{v}^{-1}) F(x; \overline{w}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

4. BETHE CONDITIONS AND BETHE EIGENVECTORS FOR THE RTT-ALGEBRA OF $U_q(sp(4))$ TYPE

In Section 2, we have mentioned that the operators $\hat{T}_k^i(x; \mathbf{u})$ and $\hat{T}_{-k}^{-i}(x; \mathbf{u})$ generate \mathbf{u} the RTT-algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2$ for each and the vector $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$ is the vacuum vector with weights

PHYSICS OF PARTICLES AND NUCLEI LETTERS Vol. 17 No. 5 2020

$$\begin{split} \mu_1(x;\overline{u}) &= \lambda_1(x)F(x^{-1}q;\overline{u}),\\ \mu_2(x;\overline{u}) &= \lambda_2(x)F(xq^{-1};\overline{u}^{-1}),\\ \mu_{-1}(x;\overline{u}) &= \lambda_{-1}(x)F(xq;\overline{u}^{-1}),\\ \mu_{-2}(x;\overline{u}) &= \lambda_{-2}(x)F(x^{-1}q^{-1};\overline{u}). \end{split}$$

Proposition 4 says that if for each $v_r \in \overline{v}$ and $w_s \in \overline{w}$ the Bethe conditions are fulfilled

$$\mu_1(v_r;\overline{u})F(v_r;\overline{v_t}^{-1})F(v_rq^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1})$$

= $\mu_2(v_r;\overline{u})F(v_r^{-1};\overline{v_r})F(v_r^{-1}q^2;\overline{w}),$
 $\mu_{-1}(w_s;\overline{u})F(w_s^{-1};\overline{w_s})F(w_s^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})$
= $\mu_{-2}(w_s;\overline{u})F(w_s;\overline{w_s}^{-1})F(w_sq^2;\overline{v}^{-1})$

the vectors

$$\Phi(\mathbf{u};\overline{v};\overline{w})\hat{T}_{1}^{2}(\mathbf{u};\overline{v})\hat{T}_{-2}^{-1}(\mathbf{u};\overline{w})\hat{\Omega}$$

are common eigenvectors of the operators $\hat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u})$ and $\hat{H}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u})$ with eigenvalues

$$\begin{split} \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(x;\mathbf{u};\overline{v};\overline{w}) &= \mu_{1}(x;\overline{u})F(x;\overline{v}^{-1})F(xq^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &+ \mu_{2}(x;\overline{u})F(x^{-1};\overline{v})F(x^{-1}q^{2};\overline{w}), \\ \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(x;\mathbf{u};\overline{v};\overline{w})\mu_{-1}(x;\overline{u})F(x^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})F(x^{-1};\overline{w}) \\ &+ \mu_{-2}(x;\overline{u})F(xq^{2};\overline{v}^{-1})F(x;\overline{w}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

From relation (3) it follows that if for each $u_k \in \overline{u}$ we have

$$F(u_k^{-1}; \overline{u}_k) \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(+)}(u_k; \mathbf{u}; \overline{\nu}; \overline{w}) F(u_k; \overline{u}_k^{-1}) \hat{E}_{1,\dots,M}^{(-)}(u_k; \mathbf{u}; \overline{\nu}; \overline{w})$$

hen the vector

then the vector

$$\mathfrak{V}(\mathbf{u};\overline{\mathbf{v}};\overline{\mathbf{w}}) = \left\langle \mathbf{B}_{1,\dots,M} \right\rangle(\mathbf{u}), \Phi(\mathbf{u};\overline{\mathbf{v}};\overline{\mathbf{w}}) \right\rangle$$
(5)

is the eigenvector of the operator H(x). From this we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem. Let the Bethe condition

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1}(u_{k})F(u_{k}^{-1};\overline{u}_{k})F(u_{k}^{-1}q;\overline{u}_{k})F(u_{k};\overline{v}^{-1})F(u_{k}q^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &= \lambda_{-1}(u_{k})F(u_{k};\overline{u}_{k}^{-1})F(u_{k}q;\overline{u}_{k}^{-1})F(u_{k}^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})F(u_{k}^{-1};\overline{w}), \\ \lambda_{1}(v_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}q;\overline{u})F(v_{r};\overline{v}_{r}^{-1})F(v_{r}q^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1}) \\ &= \lambda_{2}(v_{r})F(v_{r}q^{-1};\overline{u}^{-1})F(v_{r}^{-1};\overline{v}_{r})F(v_{r}^{-1}q^{2};\overline{w}), \\ \lambda_{-1}(w_{s})F(w_{s}q;\overline{u}^{-1})F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})F(w_{s}^{-1};\overline{w}_{s}) \\ &= \lambda_{-2}(w_{s})F(w_{s}^{-1}q^{-1};\overline{u})F(w_{s}q^{2};\overline{v}^{-1})F(w_{s};\overline{w}_{s}^{-1}) \end{split}$$

be fulfilled for any $u_k \in \overline{u}$, $v_r \in \overline{v}$ and $w_w \in \overline{w}$, then the vectors (5) are eigenvectors of H(x) with eigenvalues

$$\begin{split} E(x;\overline{u};\overline{v};\overline{w}) &= \lambda_{1}(x)F(x^{-1};\overline{u})F(x^{-1}q;\overline{u})F(x;\overline{v}^{-1}) \\ \times F(xq^{-2};\overline{w}^{-1}) + \lambda_{2}(x)F(x^{-1};\overline{u})F(xq^{-1};\overline{u}^{-1}) \\ &\times F(x^{-1};\overline{v})F(x^{-1}q^{2};\overline{w}) + \lambda_{-1}(x)F(x;\overline{u}^{-1}) \\ &\times F(xq;\overline{u}^{-1})F(x^{-1}q^{-2};\overline{v})F(x^{-1};\overline{w}) \\ + \lambda_{-2}(x)F(x;\overline{u}^{-1})F(x^{-1}q^{-1};\overline{u})F(xq^{2};\overline{v}^{-1})F(x;\overline{w}^{-1}). \end{split}$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge financial support by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic, project no. CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16_019/0000778.

REFERENCES

- 1. L. D. Faddeev, E. K. Sklyanin, and L. A. Takhtajan, "Quantum inverse problem. I," Theor. Math. Phys. 40, 688 (1979).
- P. P. Kulish and N. Yu. Reshetikhin, "Diagonalization of GL(N) invariant transfer matrices and quantum N-hwave system (Lee model)," J. Phys. A 16, L591– L596 (1983).
- 3. Č. Burdík and O. Navrátil, "Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT-algebra of *so*(2*n*) type," Phys. At. Nucl. **81**, 776 (2018).
- 4. Č. Burdík and O. Navrátil, "Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT-algebra of *sp*(2*n*) type," Phys. Part. Nucl. **49**, 939 (2018).
- 5. Č. Burdík and O. Navrátil, "Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT-algebra of *sp*(4) type," Theor. Math. Phys. **198**, 1 (2019).
- Č. Burdík and O. Navrátil, "Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT-algebra of *sp*(4) type," arXiv: 1708.05633v1 [math-ph] (2017).
- 7. N. Yu. Reshetikhin, "Integrable models of Quantum one-dimensional magnets with *O*(*n*) and *Sp*(2*k*) symmetry," Theor. Math. Phys. **63**, 555 (1985).
- M. J. Martins and P. B. Ramos, "The algebraic Bethe ansatz for rational braid-monoid lattice models," Nucl. Phys. B 500, 579 (1997).
- Č. Burdík and O. Navrátil, "Nested Bethe ansatz for RTT-algebra of U_q(sp(2n)) type," arXiv: 1911.05460v1 [math-ph] (2019).

SPELL: 1. ok